



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,198	10/28/2003	Bernardo A. Huberman	200313922-1	4497
22879 7590 11/18/2009 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration 3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35 FORT COLLINS, CO 80528				
EXAMINER GELAGAY, SHEWAYE				
ART UNIT 2437		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 11/18/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM
ipa.mail@hp.com
laura.m.clark@hp.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10695198	10/28/03	HUBERMAN ET AL.	200313922-1

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
3404 E. Harmony Road
Mail Stop 35
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528

EXAMINER

SHEWAYE GELAGAY

ART UNIT	PAPER
2437	20091104

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The Examiner's Answer mailed on July 23, 2009 has been returned from the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for being not ready for docketing as an appeal due to the following reasons:

1) The Examiner's Answer mailed on July 23, 2009 is defective because the "Evidence Relied Upon" section fails to include the reference Huberman "Enhancing Privacy and Trust in Electronic Communities."

The Examiner's Answer mailed on July 23, 2009 does actually include Huberman under "Evidence Relied Upon" see the first item listed under Section (8) Evidence Relied Upon (page 3, of the Examiner Answer).

2) The Examiner Answer mailed on July 23, 2009 did list Yeager (US 2005/0086300) as a prior art of record under Section 8. Evidence Relied Upon Heading (see page 3). However, under the heading claim rejection 35 U.S.C. 103 on page 12 Yeager (US 2004/0133640) is listed, a clarification of which reference is the prior art relied upon in the rejection is required.

Yeager (US 2005/0086300) instead of Yeager (US 2004/0133640) was inadvertently listed on page 3 of the Examiner's Answer. Attached herewith please find page 3 of the Examiner Answer which has been corrected to replace Yeager (US 2005/0086300) with Yeager (US 2004/0133640) under section (8) Evidence Relied Upon.

/Emmanuel L. Moise/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437